1922

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF BOTANY
BERKELEY

March 30th, P.M. Thus.

Dear Munz.-

The MS came yesterday afternoon and I sent it on to Evans this morning. I was satisfied with the form etc. and only mada a few changes those mainly to get uniformity and clarity. I added a topic, a copy of which I am sending you, and if you doint want to agree with me we can do some cutting when the copy comes back. I also presumed to made you bear part of the blame of Galium Hallii, for the more that I think on the subject the less I like the idea of publishing individually under a dual authorship; in fact rather than do so I believe I should rather ~~leave~~ cut the topic out. The whole point is whether you agree with the concept that I proposed and want to bear part of the responsiblity. Oh yes, Torrey reports Lemna trisulca from San Gabriel Creek; I put that in the paper too. I went over the references carefully and only found one item off - a date which was one year off. I crossed out your introductory paragraph which said that all the specimens unless mentioned were in the Baker Herb.; that was by no means so.

Was very glad to hear that you got over to Slover Mt. I am surprised that you had to get permission, for I just walked onto the mountain from the east getting to it by a drive thru an orange orchard. At any rate I guess we can mark out definately Tracy's remarkable records. I am interested in you remarks regard Cheilanthes viscida and Cooperae. However, I can not see how you can make them the same species and they differ so constantly in shape of frond and in the indument; undoubtedly they are related closely but at least for my part I am content to call them distinct species. It might be well for you to chalk down some of your field observations - those for example regarding odor etc. - for use in the fern report. That Slover Mt flora ought to occur over at Crestmore and you ought to take a try for it there if you can.

I am surprised that you have not gotten part of Jepson Flora. The big monocot part is out, it having appeared about two weeks ago. I have told you about the poppy part which came out about a month ago. He has also another surprise. I guess Mackenzie got tired of waiting for the flora to appear so Jepson revived Erythea and published an entire volume which is devoted entirely to Mackenzies revision of Calif. Carices. I want a copy of all three of these works but I dont know ~~whether~~ how they are to be distributed. The flora parts, and perhaps the Erythea volume as well, can to obtained thru the "Associated Students Store " here at the University. I am surprised that he did not send you copies. The two flora parts are certainly fine, Jepson far exceeding in them his previous efforts.

Iam working like a dog. I want to get away from here by the last of next month and if I actually succeed in doing so I will have to work even harder. My MA thesis has to be handed in on the 18th of April and I am at present trying to get my copy ready to have a typest take it and fix it up in final form. My big paper is nearly done but lots of little thing remain and thse as you know always take so long to do. I am just now finishing up my Euphorbs which have given me lots and lots of trouble. All that remains for me to go over is my Agaves and cacti.

It may interest you to hear that I have received an Austin Fellowship at Harvard with my work to be done in the Gray Herb. I am to be herb. assistant and am to have charge of West. Amer. determination work. The fact is I am to have the place that Macbride had. You can be getting ready your plants to send me next fall when I can give you ~~that~~ some of those celebrated Gray Herb. determinations.

Well solong,

JMA.